

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/498,369	02/04/2000	Victor H. Shear	07451.0010-01000	8725	
22852	7590 08/05/2004		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			DIXON, THOMAS A		
LLP 1300 I STRE	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3629		
				DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·····	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/498,369	SHEAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas A. Dixon	3629 MM				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	lovember 2003.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>151-155 and 186-194</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-151 and 156-185</u> is 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>151-155 and 186-194</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s/are withdrawn from considerat	ion.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applica In the rity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademath Office.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/498,369

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's amendment and arguments are convincing, the rejections and objections of the previous office action are withdrawn.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 151-155 and 186-194 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per Claim 151, the phrase "the specification" lacks antecedent basis. As per Claim 191, the phrases "the specification" and "the secure container contents" lack antecedent basis.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 151-155, 186-195 are allowable if the 112 rejections are overcome.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per Claim 151.

The prior art of record, specifically Ginter et al (WO 96/27155) does not disclose or fairly teach:

associating a first rule with the secure container, the first rule at least in part governing use of at least some of the secure container contents, the first rule at least in part specifying potential users or recipients of the secure container contents, the specification being based at least in part on a first class to which the potential users or recipients have been assigned;

receiving a request for a content object from a potential user or recipient, the request specifying a desired class of rights management information;

Art Unit: 3629

determining that the first content has rights management information associated with it that belongs to the desired class;

determining based at least in part on the digital certificate, that the potential user or recipient has been assigned to the first class as claimed.

As per Claim 191.

The prior art of record, specifically Ginter et al (WO 96/27155) does not disclose or fairly teach:

assigning a potential user or recipient to a class, the assignment being based at least in part on information regarding the potential user or recipient's previous use of content; and

associating a first rule with the secure container, the first rule at least in part governing use of at least some of the secure container contents, the first rule at least in part specifying potential users or recipients of the secure container contents, the specification being based at least in part on a first class to which the potential users or recipients have been assigned as claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3629

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (703) 305-4645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Dixon

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 3629

August 3, 2004